arXiv:1402.4682v1 [math.FA] 19 Feb 2014

On subspaces diskcyclicity

Nareen Sabih and Adem Kılıçman
Department of Mathematics, University Putra Malaysia
43400 UPM, Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia

Abstract

A bounded linear operator T on Hilbert space is subspace-diskcyclic for a non trivial subspace M if there exists a vector whose disk orbit under T intersects the subspace in a relatively norm-dense set. In this study, we construct some counter examples to show that subspace-diskcyclic is not a strictly infinite dimensional phenomenon, and some subspace-diskcyclic operators are not diskcyclic operators. We also proved that a spectrum of a subspace-diskcyclic operator can not be a subset of the unit ball. Further, quasinilpotent operators can not be subspace-diskcyclic. However, we report that there are compact subspace-diskcyclic operators.

Keywords: Subspace-hypercyclicity, Subspace-supercyclicity, Dynamics of linear operators in Hilbert space.
AMS Subject Classification: Primary 47A16; Secondary 47B37, 37B99.

1 Introduction

A bounded linear operator T on a Banach space X is called hypercyclic if there is a vector x in X whose orbit $Orb(T, x)$ is norm-dense in X, such a vector is called a hypercyclic vector for T, and the set of all hypercyclic vectors for T is denoted by $HC(T)$, for more information see [2, 8]. Hypercyclicity on Banach spaces was firstly discussed in 1969 by Rolewics [16], who showed that whenever $|\lambda| > 1, \lambda B$ is hypercyclic where B is the unilateral backward shift on $l^p(1 \leq p < \infty)$ or C_0. This was the motivation for the study of scaled orbit. An operator T is said to be supercyclic if there is a vector $x \in X$ such that the cone generated by $Orb(T, x)$ is norm-dense in X, then x is called supercyclic vector for T and the set of all supercyclic vectors for T, denoted by $SC(T)$, see [10]. Similarly, since λB is not hypercyclic operator whenever $|\lambda| \leq 1$, this led to consider the disk orbit notion. Diskcyclic operator on a Hilbert space has been studied by Zeana [20] and defined as follows: A bounded linear operator T on a Hilbert space H is called diskcyclic if there is a vector x in H such that the disk orbit of $x$is norm-dense in H such a vector is called diskcyclic vector for T and the set of all diskcyclic vectors for T is denoted by $DC(T)$.

Kitai [12] defined in her Ph.D dissertation conditions that ensure a linear operator to be hypercyclic. This result, is called the Hypercyclicity Criterion, and a few years later it was rediscovered by Gethner and Shapiro [7]. It was an open problem for many years whether this criterion was equivalent to hypercyclicity: De la Rosa and Read [5] showed that it is not. Moreover, Bayart and Matheron [3] showed that the equivalence fails on classical Banach spaces,

and even on a Hilbert space. Zeana [20] determined in her Ph.D thesis the diskcyclic criterion in order to ensure the existence of some diskcyclic operators. Moreover, another criterion for diskcyclic operators which is called "Three open set's condition's for diskcyclicity" has been proved by Zeana and Helal, see [21].

Bourdon and Feldman [4] proved that if an orbit is somewhere dense in X, then it must be every where dense in X. This result was the motivation for studying the subspace-hypercyclicity by Madore and Martínez-Avendaño [14] who studied a hypercyclicity on a nontrivial subspace of X, and defined it as follows: Let M be a nonzero subspace of a Hilbert space H, then T is subspace-hypercyclic for M if there exists $x \in H$ such that $Orb(T, x) \cap M$ is dense in M, then x is a subspace-hypercyclic vector. Actually, they introduced a subspace-hypercyclicity criterion and discussed the spectrum of a subspace-hypercyclic operator on a Hilbert space H. Furthermore, they showed that subspace-hypercyclicity is a strictly infinite dimensional phenomenon and they constructed examples of a nontrivial subspace-hypercyclic operator that are not hypercyclic. Rezaei [15] answered a question raised by the authors in [14] showing that $P(T)$ has a relatively dense range for every real or complex polynomial P, where P is the orthogonal projection on a subspace M. Some questions posed by Rezaei [15] have been answered by Jiménez-Munguía et al. [11]. In particular, they provided an example of an operator T such that $Orb(T, x) \cap M$ is somewhere dense in M, but it is not everywhere dense in M. Le [13] answered negatively two questions asked by Madore and Martínez-Avendaño and showed that if an operator T satisfies a stronger condition than the hypercyclicity criterion, it is a subspace-hypercyclic for any finite co-dimensional subspace. Sorayya and Meysam [17] answered in affirmative one question asked by Madore and Martínez-Avendaño with an additional assumption, they showed that if T is an invertible operator and subspace-transitive, then T^{-1} is subspace-hypercyclic. Sorayya and Moosapoor [18] introduced the concept of subspace-chaotic and subspace-weakly mixing operators. Xian-Feng et al. [19] introduced a subspace-supercylicity, which is studied a supercyclicity on a nontrivial subspace of X and defined it as follow: Let M be a nonzero subspace of H, then T is subspace-supercyclic operator for M if there exists $x \in H$ such that $\mathbb{C}Orb(T, x) \cap M$ is dense in M, such a vector x is a subspace-supercyclic vector for T. They constructed examples to show that subspace-supercyclic exists on finite dimensional Banach space, and that some subspace-supercyclic operators are not supercyclic. Also they provided a subspace-supercyclicity criterion and presented two necessary and sufficient conditions for a path of bounded linear operators to have a dense G_δ set of common subspace-hypercyclic vectors and common subspace-supercyclic vectors.

Now, since the diskcyclicity has been studied on a whole Hilbert space; however, it's existence has not been studied on a proper subspace of a Hilbert space. Therefore, we will introduce the subspace-diskcylicity to show that the disk orbit of a vector under a bounded linear operator can be dense set in a non-trivial subspace.

In what follows, H always denotes a separable Hilbert space over $\mathbb{C}$, the field of complex numbers. Usually, H will be infinite-dimensional and we will indicate when H is finite dimensional. We always assume that a subspace M of H is topologically closed and $B(H)$ denotes the set of all bounded linear operators on H.

This paper consists of four sections. In the second section, we will give a review of diskcyclicity and define a disk transitive operator and some equivalent affirmations. Then we prove that a finite dimensional Hilbert space H supports a diskcyclic operator if and only if the dimension of H is one.

In the third section the concept of subspace-diskcyclicity is introduced, and we show by a counter example that subspace-diskcyclicity does not imply diskcyclicity. We also define a subspace-disk transitive and study some of it's characterizations. We show that a subspace-disk transitive operator is subspace-diskcyclic.

In the last section, we prove that there are no quasinilpotent subspace-diskcyclic operator. In contrast with subspace-hypercyclic, firstly, we prove that subspace-diskcyclicity exists on every finite dimensional separable Hilbert space over the scaler field $\mathbb{C}$. Secondly, if an operator on a finite dimensional H is subspace-diskcyclic for some invariant subspace M, then M must be one dimensional . Finally, we show that there are compact subspace-diskcyclic operators and the spectrum of a subspace-diskcyclic operator can not be subset of the unit open ball.

2 Diskcyclicity

In this section we recall some notions of diskcyclicity from [1, 20, 22] and prove some results that have not been in the literature yet. These facts will help us to get more results on subspace diskcyclicity.

The disk orbit of T is the set

$$DOrb(T,x) = \{\alpha T^n x : n \geq 0, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, |\alpha| \leq 1\}.$$

We call the concept that was used as a part of [1, Theorem 4.1.2] a disk transitive and defined as follow:

Definition 2.1. A bounded linear operator $T : X \to X$ is called disk transitive if for any pair U, V of nonempty open subsets of X, there are $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}; 0 < |\alpha| \leq 1$, and $n \geq 0$ such that $T^n(\alpha U) \cap V \neq \phi$

It was shown in [20] that an operator T is disk cyclic operator if and only if it is disk transitive. Next, we prove some equivalent assertions to disk transitivity.

Proposition 2.1. Let $T \in B(H)$ the following are equivalent:

1. T is disk transitive.
2. For any nonempty open subsets U of H, there are $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}; 0 < |\alpha| \leq 1$, such that the set $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \\ |\alpha| \leq 1}} T^n(\alpha U)$ is dense in H.
3. For any nonempty open subsets U of H, there are $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}; |\alpha| \geq 1$, such that the set $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \\ |\alpha| \geq 1}} T^{-n}(\alpha U)$ is dense in H.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Let U_0 be any non empty open subset of H, by (1) there exist $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}, |\alpha| \leq 1$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $T^n(\alpha U_0) \cap V \neq \phi$ for every open set V this implies that $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \\ |\alpha| \leq 1}} T^n(\alpha U_0)$ intersect every open set and hence dense.
(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3): Let U and V be any nonempty open subset of H, by (2) there are $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}; 0 < |\alpha| \leq 1$, such that the set $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \\ |\alpha| \leq 1}} T^n(\alpha U)$ is dense in H and so intersects every open sets. Thus there exist $\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{C}, 0 < |\alpha_1| \leq 1$ and $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $T^{n_1}(\alpha_1 U) \cap V \neq \phi$ and so $T^{-n_1} \frac{1}{\alpha_1} V \cap U \neq \phi$ which implies $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \\ |\alpha| \geq 1}} T^{-n}(\alpha V)$ is dense in H.
(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Let $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \\ |\alpha| \geq 1}} T^{-n}(\alpha U)$ be dense in H, then $\left(\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \\ |\alpha| \geq 1}} T^{-n}(\alpha U) \right) \cap V \neq \phi$ for every open set V thus $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \\ |\alpha| \geq 1}} \left(T^{-n}(\alpha U) \cap V \right) \neq \phi$ this implies that there exist an $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}, |\alpha| \geq 1$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $T^{-n} \alpha U \cap V \neq \phi$ for every open set V. Thus $T^n \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} V \right) \cap U \neq \phi$ and hence the proof. □

The following proposition will be useful. Moreover, this is not the case of hypercyclicity.

Proposition 2.2. If cT is diskcyclic operator, then kT is diskcyclic operator for all $c, k \in \mathbb{R}^+, k \geq c$.

Proof. It is enough to prove $DOrb(cT, x) \subset DOrb(kT, x)$. Let $z \in DOrb(cT, x)$ then there exist $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{C}, |\alpha_0| \leq 1$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $z = \alpha_0 c^n T^n x$. We may in fact choose $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\beta = \alpha_0 \frac{c^n}{k^n}$, it is clear that $|\beta| \leq 1$. It follows that, $z = \beta k^n T^n x \in DOrb(kT, x)$. □

Now, we show that there are diskcyclic operators on the space of complex numbers.

Example 2.1. Let $T \in B(\mathbb{C})$ defined as $T(x) = 2x$, then T is diskcyclic on $\mathbb{C}$

Proof. $DOrb(T, x) = \{\alpha 2^n x : n \geq 0 \text{ and } |\alpha| \leq 1\}$. Assume that $x = 1$, then $DOrb(T, 1) = \{\alpha 2^n : n \geq 0 \text{ and } |\alpha| \leq 1\}$. Let $z = a + bi \in \mathbb{C}$ where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, then choose a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ in which $2^k \geq \sqrt{|a|^2 + |b|^2}$. It follows that $z = 2^k \left(\frac{a}{2^k} + \frac{b}{2^k} i \right) \in DOrb(T, 1)$. Thus T is diskcyclic operator. □

Remark 1. Every two n-dimensional Hilbert spaces over the scaler complex field are isomorphic.

The following theorem shows the existence of diskcyclic operators on every one dimensional Hilbert space.

Theorem 2.3. There exist a diskcyclic operator T on a nontrivial H if and only if $dim(H) = 1$ or $dim(H) = \infty$

Proof. Assume that T is diskcyclic operator, then T is supercyclic operator and hence by [9, Theorem 1] $dim(H) \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ or $dim(H) = \infty$. However; by [6, Theorem 1.6], $dim(H) \neq 2$ which follows that $dim(H) = 1$ or $dim(H) = \infty$.
Conversely, follow from Example 2.1, Remark 1 and [1, Example 4.1.7]. □

3 Subspace-diskcyclicity

The following is our main definition.

Definition 3.1. Let M be a nonzero subspace of H. We say that T is subspace-diskcyclic operator for M if there exists $x \in H$ such that $DOrb(T, x) \cap M$ is dense in M. We call x a subspace-diskcyclic vector for T.

In general the subspace-diskcyclicity does not imply diskcyclicity as shown in the next example.

Example 3.1. Let T be a diskcyclic operator on H with diskcyclic vector x and let I be the identity operator on H. Then, the operator $T \oplus I : H \oplus H \to H \oplus H$ is subspace-diskcyclic for the subspace $M := H \oplus \{0\}$ with subspace-diskcyclic vector $x \oplus 0$. Clearly, $T \oplus I$ is not diskcyclic.

Remark 2. If $\{H_i\}$ is a family of Hilbert spaces and $T_i \in B(H_i)$ such that $\oplus T_i \in DC(\oplus H_i)$, then $T_i \in DC(H_i)$ for all i [20, Proposition 4.19]. However; this is not true in the case of subspace-diskcyclicity, as we have seen in the last example, $T \oplus I$ is subspace-diskcyclic for the subspace M of $H \oplus H$ but there is no subspace of H for which I is subspace-diskcyclic operator.

In some cases we can generate subspace-diskcyclic operators from diskcyclic operators.

Example 3.2. Let T be a diskcyclic operator on H with diskcyclic vector x and assume that $C \in B(H)$ is nonzero and has closed range M. If $A \in B(H)$ satisfies the equation $AC = CT$, then it is clear that A is subspace-diskcyclic for M with subspace-diskcyclic vector Cx.

Let us denote the set of all subspace-diskcyclic vectors for M by

$$DC(T, M) = \{x \in H : \alpha Orb(T, x) \cap M \text{ is dense in } M, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, |\alpha| \leq 1\}.$$

The following Proposition is true in the classical case and the proof in our case is similar.

Proposition 3.1. Let $T \in B(H)$ and let M be a nonzero subspace of H. Then $DC(T, M) = \bigcap_k \big(\bigcup_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \\ |\alpha| \geq 1}} \bigcup_n T^{-n}(\alpha B_k) \big)$ where $\{B_k\}$ is a countable open basis for the relative topology of M as a subspace of H.

Proof. Let $\{B_k\}$ be a countable base for the relative topology of M. We have $x \in DC(T, M)$ if and only if $\{\alpha T^n x | n \geq 0, |\alpha| \leq 1\} \cap M$ is dense in M if and only if for each $k > 0$, there are $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}; |\alpha| \leq 1$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\alpha T^n x \in B_k$ if and only if $x \in \bigcap_k \left(\bigcup_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \\ |\alpha| \geq 1}} \bigcup_n T^{-n}(\alpha B_k) \right)$. □

We need the following definition in order to prove our next important theorem.

Definition 3.2. Let $T \in B(H)$ and let M be a nonzero subspace of H. We say that T is subspace-disk transitive with respect to M if for all nonempty sets $U \subset M$ and $V \subset M$, both relatively open, there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}, |\alpha| \geq 1, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $T^{-n}(\alpha U) \cap V$ contains a relatively open nonempty subset of M.

Theorem 3.2. Let $T \in B(H)$ and let M be a nonzero subspace of H. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

1. The operator T is subspace-disk transitive with respect to M
2. For any nonempty sets $U \subset M$ and $V \subset M$, both relatively open, there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}, |\alpha| \geq 1, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $T^{-n}(\alpha U) \cap V$ is nonempty and $T^n(M) \subset M$.
3. For any nonempty sets $U \subset M$ and $V \subset M$, both relatively open, there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}, |\alpha| \geq 1, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $T^{-n}(\alpha U) \cap V$ nonempty open subset of M.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Let U and V be nonempty open subset of M and let G be the nonempty open subset of $T^{-n}(\alpha U) \cap V$. Thus, $T^{-n}(\alpha U) \cap V$ is nonempty. Since $G \subset T^{-n}(\alpha U)$ it follows that $\frac{1}{\alpha}T^n G \subset U \subset M$. Let $x \in M$ and take $x_0 \in G$. There exists $r > 0$ such that $(x_0 + rx) \in G$. Then we get $\frac{1}{\alpha}T^n(x_0 + rx) = \frac{1}{\alpha}T^n x_0 + \frac{1}{\alpha}T^n rx \in \frac{1}{\alpha}T^n G \subset M$. Since $x_0 \in G$ then $\frac{1}{\alpha}T^n x_0 \in \frac{1}{\alpha}T^n G \subset M$, it follows that $\frac{r}{\alpha}T^n x \in M$ and so $T^n x \in M$.
(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3): $T^n|_M : M \to M$ is continuous and hence if U is open subset of M, then $T^{-n}(\alpha U)$ is open in M. Since $V \subset M$ is open, it follows that $T^{-n}(\alpha U) \cap V$ is a non-empty open set in M.
(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) is obvious. □

From the above theorem, We can easily deduce the following results.

Corollary 3.3. Let $T \in B(H)$ and let M be a nonzero subspace of H. Assume that T is subspace- disk transitive with respect to M. Then $DC(T, M) \cap M$ is dense subset of M.

Proof. By above theorem, for each i, j, there exist $n = n_{i,j} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha = \alpha_{i,j} \in \mathbb{C}, |\alpha_{i,j}| \geq 1$ such that the set

$$T^{-n_{i,j}}(\alpha_{i,j} B_i) \cap B_j$$

is nonempty and open in M. Hence, the set

$$A_i = \bigcup_j T^{-n_{i,j}}(\alpha_{i,j} B_i) \cap B_j$$

is non empty and open in M. Furthermore, each A_i is dense in M, since it intersects each B_j. By the Baire category theorem, we have

$$\bigcap_i A_i = \bigcap_i \bigcup_j T^{-n_{i,j}}(\alpha_{i,j} B_i) \cap B_j$$

is a dense set. Clearly,

$$\bigcap_i \bigcup_j T^{-n_{i,j}}(\alpha_{i,j} B_i) \cap B_j \subset \bigcap_i \bigcup_\alpha \bigcup_n T^{-n}(\alpha B_i) \cap M$$

Since $DC(T, M) = \bigcap_i \bigcup_\alpha \bigcup_n T^{-n}(\alpha B_i)$, then the result follows. □

Corollary 3.4. If T is subspace-disk transitive operator for a subspace M, then T is subspace-diskcyclic for M.

4 Finite dimensions

The following proposition will be useful.

Proposition 4.1. Let $T \in B(H)$ be a subspace-diskcyclic for M. If N is an invariant subspace for T and $M \subset N$, then $T|_N : N \to N$ is a subspace-diskcyclic for M.

Proof. Let T be a subspace-diskcyclic for M with subspace-diskcyclic vector x, then $DOrb(T, x) \cap M$ is dense in M. Choose a minimum k, $k \geq 0$ in which $\alpha_0 T^k \in DOrb(T, x) \cap M$ for some $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{C}, |\alpha_0| \leq 1$. It follows that $T^k(x) \in M$ and since M is a subset of the invariant subspace N then, $T^n(T^k x) \in N$ for all $n \geq 0$. Now, by the minimality of k it is clear that $DOrb(T|_N, T^k x) \cap M = DOrb(T, x) \cap M$, so the proof is finished. □

To prove the next theorem, the reader should be convenient with complementary subspaces of a Hilbert space.

Theorem 4.2. Let M and N be complementary subspaces of H and P be the projection onto M along N. Let $T \in B(H)$ and N be invariant under T. If T is subspace-diskcyclic for some $L \subseteq M$, then $PT|_M$ is subspace-diskcyclic for L.

Proof. Let T be a subspace-diskcyclic operator for L with subspace-diskcyclic vector $x \in L$. Since $L \subseteq M$ then $DOrb(T, x) \cap L \subseteq P(DOrb(T, x)) \cap L$. It follows that $P(DOrb(T, x)) \cap L$ is dense in L. Moreover, N is an invariant subspace for T, then $PTP = PT$ and hence that $(PT)^k = PT^k$ for all $k \in N$. As a result, we get $P(DOrb(T, x)) = DOrb(PT|_M, x)$ and so $DOrb(PT|_M, x) \cap L$ is dense in L. □

The following proposition shows the behavior of the spectrum of diskcyclic operators.

Proposition 4.3. Let T be a subspace-diskcyclic operator for a subspace M of H, then $\sigma(T) \not\subset \mathbb{B}(0, 1)$

Proof. Assume $\sigma(T) \subset \mathbb{B}(0, 1)$, then by [2, Lemma 1.20] for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}, |\alpha| \leq 1$ the sequence $\|\alpha T^n\|$ converges to zero. Thus, $DOrb(T, x)$ is bounded and hence its intersection with M cannot be dense in M. □

An operator T over the scaler complex field is called quasinilpotent operator if and only if $\sigma(T) = \{0\}$.

Corollary 4.4. No quasinilpotent operator is subspace-diskcyclic.

Proof. Suppose that an operator T is quasinilpotent and subspace-diskcyclic for a subspace M, then $\sigma(T) = \{0\}$ which contradicts Proposition 4.3. □

In view of Theorem 2.3 we have the following example.

Example 4.1. There are subspace-diskcyclic operators on $\mathbb{C}^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

Proof. Let $M = \{x : x = (a, 0, 0, .., 0), x \in \mathbb{C}^n\}$ be a subspace of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Assume that $T = kx,\ x \in \mathbb{C}^n,\ k \in \mathbb{C},\ |k| > 1$, then $T \in B(\mathbb{C}^n)$. An easy computation shows that T is subspace-diskcyclic operator for M with a subspace-diskcyclic vector, say $(1, 0, 0, ..., 0)$. □

Now, the example extends to such spaces by Remark 1.

Theorem 4.5. There are subspace-diskcyclic operators on every finite dimensional Hilbert space over the scaler field $\mathbb{C}$.

In [14] the authors prove that no compact operator can be subspace-hypercyclic for any subspace.

Corollary 4.6. Compact subspace-diskcyclic operators can be exist in H.

Proof. Follows from Theorem 4.5. □

Theorem 4.7. Let H be finite dimensional. If $T \in B(H)$ is subspace-diskcyclic for the invariant subspace M, then $dim(M) = 1$

Proof. Let T be a subspace-diskcyclic for M with a subspace diskcyclic vector x. Since M is not trivial then $dim(M) \neq 0$. Suppose that $dim(M) > 1$. Now since every closed subspace of a Hilbert space is Hilbert space and second countability passes to subspaces, then M is separable Hilbert space. Choose a minimum k, $k \geq 0$ in which $\alpha_0 T^k \in DOrb(T, x) \cap M$ for some $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{C}, |\alpha_0| \leq 1$, it follows that $DOrb(T|_M, \alpha_0 T^k x)$ is dense in M; i.e., there exist a diskcyclic operator on a Hilbert space of dimension > 1. But Theorem 2.3 contradicts this, hence the proof is completed. □

Thus the following diagram shows the relationship among the cyclicity operators on a Hilbert space and in a proper subspace of a Hilbert space.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Hypercyclicity} & \Rightarrow & \text{Diskcyclicity} & \Rightarrow & \text{Supercyclicity} \\ \Updownarrow & & \Uparrow & & \Uparrow \\ \text{Subspace} & & \text{Subspace} & & \text{Subspace} \\ \text{hypercyclicity} & \Rightarrow & \text{diskcyclicity} & \Rightarrow & \text{supercyclicity} \end{array}$$

References

[1] A.S. Atef, *On G-cyclicity of operators*, Thesis, The Islamic University of Gaza, 2007.

[2] F. Bayart, É. Matheron, *Dynamics of Linear Operators*, Cambridge University Press 2009.

[3] F. Bayart, É. Matheron, *Hypercyclic operators failing the Hypercyclicity Criterion on classical Banach spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. 250 (2007), 426–441.

[4] P.S. Bourdon, N.S. Feldman, *Somewhere dense orbits are everywhere dense*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 52 (2003), 811–819.

[5] M. De la Rosa, C. Read, *A hypercyclic operator whose direct sum $T \oplus T$ is not hypercyclic*, J. Operator Theory 61 (2) (2009), 369–380.

[6] R. Ernst, *Strongly n-supercyclic operators*, arXiv:1205.3574.

[7] R.M. Gethner, J. H. Shapiro, *Universal vectors for operators on spaces of holomorphic functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 100 (1987), 281–288.

[8] K.G. Grosse-Erdmann, A. Peris, *Linear Chaos*, in: Universitext, Springer, 2011.

[9] G. Herzog, *On linear operators having supercyclic vectors*, Studia Math. 103 (1992), 295–298.

[10] H.M. Hilden, L. J. Wallen, *Some cyclic and non-cyclic vectors of certain operators*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 23 (1974), 557–565.

[11] R.R. Jiménez-Munguía, R. A. Martínez-Avendaño, A. Peris, *Some questions about subspace-hypercyclic operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 408 (2013), 209–212

[12] C. Kitai, *Invariant Closed Sets for Linear Operators*, Thesis, University of Toronto, 1982.

[13] C.M. Le,*On subspace-hypercyclic operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., B9(2011), 2847–2852.

[14] B.F. Madore, R.A. Martínez-Avendaño, *Subspace hypercyclicity*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 373 (2011), 502–511.

[15] H. Rezaei, *Notes on subspace-hypercyclic operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 397 (2013), 428–433.

[16] S. Rolewicz, *On orbits of elements*, Studia Math. 32 (1969), 17–22.

[17] T. Sorayya, Meysam A. *On subspace-transitive operators*, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics. 84 (2013), 643–649

[18] T. Sorayya, Moosapoor. M, *Subspace-Chaotic Operators and Subspace-Weakly Mixing Operators*, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics.78, No. 6 (2012), 879–885

[19] Z. Xian-Feng, S. Yong-Lu, Z. Yun-Hua,*Subspace-supercyclicity and common subspace-supercyclic vectors*, Journal of East China Normal University. 1(2012), 106–112.

[20] Z.J. Zeana, *Cyclic Phenomena of operators on Hilbert space*; Thesis, University of Baghdad, 2002.

[21] Z.J. Zeana, M. Helal, *Equivalent between the Criterion and the Three Open Set's Conditions in Disk-Cyclicity*, Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences. 8, No. 6 (2013), 257–261.

[22] Z.J. Zeana, A.G. Naoum. *Disk-cyclic and weighted shifts operators*, International J. of Math. Sci. and Engg. Appls. (IJMSEA). 7(2013), 375-388.